

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,007	01/23/2002	Takeya Miwa	Q68157	1215	
23373	7590 08/25/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, PHONG H	
SUITE 800	JI E VIII III II V LIVOL,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20037				
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/200-	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Occurrence	10/053,007	MIWA, TAKEYA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phong H Nguyen	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ma	ay 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign     a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:     1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents     2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents     3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	A) []	(DTO 412)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:					

N

Application/Control Number: 10/053,007

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The cancellation of claim 5 and the withdrawal of claims 8-10 are acknowledged.
- 2. Drawing objections and 35 USC 112 rejections are withdrawn due to the cancellation of claim 5.

## Claim Objection

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no transitional phrase such as "comprising" or "consisting of" in the preamble of claim 1. It is assumed that "comprising" is used in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beamenderfer et al. (4,834,674).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11 and 12, Beamenderfer et al. teach a flat cable comprising a plurality of conductors (4/5) wherein end portions of the conductors form a stepped form. See Figs. 2-5. Beamenderfer et al. do not teach no immediate adjacent ones of the conductors are in the same plane. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a plurality of conductors wherein no immediate adjacent end portions of the conductors are in the same plane to accommodate a cable connector wherein

Art Unit: 3724

6.

connecting ports are arranged in a way such that no immediate adjacent conductors are in the same plane.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Beamenderfer et al. teach a method of processing a flat cable comprising a plurality of conductors (4/5) wherein end portions of the conductors form a stepped form. See Figs. 2-5. Beamenderfer et al. do not teach no immediate adjacent ones of the conductors are in the same plane. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a plurality of conductors wherein no immediate adjacent end portions of the conductors are in the same plane to accommodate a cable connector wherein connecting ports are arranged in a way such that no immediate adjacent conductors are in the same plane.

## Response to Arguments

are not persuasive. The Applicant includes the phrase "no immediate adjacent ones of the conductors are in the same plane" to overcome the rejection based on Beamenderfer et al.

Applicant's arguments filed on 05/21/2004 have been fully considered but they

Although Beamenderfer et al. teach two adjacent signal wires 4 are on the same plane, one skilled in the art would have been motivated to provide only one signal wire 4 to

accommodate a connector having single signal wires but not pairs of signal wires.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,007

Art Unit: 3724

#### Conclusion

Page 4

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phong H Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-4989. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 20, 2004

Allan N. Shoap

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700